arXiv:1511.03133v2 [math.AG] 10 Apr 2016

A REMARK ON THE TOPOLOGY OF A POLYNOMIAL MAPPING $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ VIA INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY

NGUYỄN THỊ BÍCH THỦY

Abstract. In [16], Guillaume and Anna Valette associate singular varieties V_F to a polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$. In the case $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, if the set $K_0(F)$ of critical values of F is empty, then F is not proper if and only if the 2-dimensional homology or intersection homology (with any perversity) of V_F are not trivial. In [11], the results of [16] are generalized in the case $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ where $n \geqslant 3$, with an additional condition. In this paper, we prove that if $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a non-proper *generic dominant* polynomial mapping, then the 2-dimensional homology and intersection homology (with any perversity) of V_F are not trivial. We prove that this result is true also for a non-proper *generic dominant* polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geqslant 3$), with the same additional condition than in [11]. In order to compute the intersection homology of the variety V_F, we provide an explicit Thom-Mather stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$.

1. Introduction

In [16], Guillaume and Anna Valette provide a criteria for properness of a polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$. They construct a real algebraic singular variety V_F satisfying the following property: if the set of critical values of F is empty then F is not proper if and only if the 2-dimensional homology or intersection homology (with any perversity) of V_F is not trivial ([16], theorem 3.2). In [11], the result of [16] is generalized in the general case $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$, where $n \geqslant 3$, with an additional condition ([11], theorem 4.5). The variety V_F is a real algebraic singular variety of dimension $2n$ in some $\mathbb{R}^{2n+p}$, the singular set of which is contained in $(K_0(F) \cup S_F) \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}}\}^p$, where $K_0(F)$ is the set of critical values and S_F is the asymptotic set of F.

This paper proves that if $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a non-proper *generic dominant* polynomial mapping, then the 2-dimensional homology and intersection homology (with any perversity) of V_F are not trivial. We prove that this result is true also for a non-proper *generic dominant* polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geqslant 3$), with the same additional condition than in [11]. To prove these results, we use the transversality theorem: if F is non-proper *generic* dominant polynomial mapping, we can construct an adapted $(2, \overline{p})$-allowable chain (in generic position) providing

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N33; 32S05; 14J**.

Key words and phrases. Intersection homology, Singularities, Polynomial mappings.

non triviality of homology and intersection homology of the variety V_F, for any perversity $\overline{p}$ (theorems 5.1 and 5.2).

In order to compute the intersection homology of the variety V_F in the case $K_0(F) \neq \varnothing$, we have to stratify the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$. Furthermore, the intersection homology of the variety V_F does not depend on the stratification if we use a locally topologically trivial stratification. We know that a Thom-Mather stratification is a locally topologically trivial stratification (see [13], [17]). In [13], Thom defined a partition of the set $K_0(F)$ by "*constant rank*", which is a *local* Thom-Mather stratification. In [8], the author provides a Thom-Mather stratification of the asymptotic set S_F by the *"méthode des façons"*. In order to prove the main result, one important point of this paper is the following: we show that in general the set $K_0(F)$ is not closed, so we cannot define a (global) stratification of $K_0(F)$ satisfying the frontier condition. Hence, we cannot define a (global) Thom-Mather stratification of $K_0(F)$. However, we prove that the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is closed and $K_0(F) \cup S_F = \overline{K_0(F)} \cup S_F$. This fact allows us to show that there exists a Thom-Mather stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ compatible with the partition of the set $K_0(F)$ defined by Thom and compatible with the stratification of the set S_F defined by the *"méthode des façons"* (theorem 4.5).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we set-up our framework. All the varieties we consider in this article are semi-algebraic.

2.1. **Intersection homology.**

We briefly recall the definition of intersection homology. For details, we refer to the fundamental work of M. Goresky and R. MacPherson [4] (see also [2]).

Definition 2.1. Let V be a m-dimensional semi-algebraic set. A *semi-algebraic stratification of* V is the data of a finite semi-algebraic filtration

$$V = V_m \supset V_{m-1} \supset \cdots \supset V_0 \supset V_{-1} = \varnothing,$$

such that for every i, the set $V_i \backslash V_{i-1}$ is either an emptyset or a manifold of dimension i. A connected component of $V_i \backslash V_{i-1}$ is called *a stratum* of V.

Let S_i be a stratum of V and $\overline{S_i}$ its closure in V. If $\overline{S_i} \backslash S_i$ is the union of strata of V, for all strata S_i of V, then we say that the stratification of V satisfies the frontier condition.

Definition 2.2 (see [13], [7])**.** Let V be a variety in a smooth variety M. We say that a stratification of V is a *Thom-Mather stratification* if each stratum S_i is a differentiable variety of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ and if for each S_i, we have:

a) an open neighbourhood (tubular neighbourhood) T_i of S_i in M,

b) a continuous retraction π_i of T_i on S_i,

c) a continuous function $\rho_i : T_i \to [0, \infty[$ which is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ on the smooth part of $V \cap T_i$,
such that $S_i = \{x \in T_i : \rho(x) = 0\}$ and if $S_i \subset \overline{S_j}$, then

i) the restricted mapping $(\pi_i, \rho_i) : T_i \cap S_j \to S_i \times [0, \infty[$ is a smooth immersion,

ii) for $x \in T_i \cap T_j$ such that $\pi_j(x) \in T_i$, we have the following relations of commutation:

1) $\pi_i \circ \pi_j(x) = \pi_i(x)$,

2) $\rho_i \circ \pi_j(x) = \rho_i(x)$,

when the two members of theses formulas are defined.

A Thom-Mather stratification of a variety V satisfies the frontier condition.

We denote by cL the open cone on the space L, the cone on the empty set being a point. Observe that if L is a stratified set then cL is stratified by the cones over the strata of L and an additional 0-dimensional stratum (the vertex of the cone).

Definition 2.3. A stratification of V is said to be *locally topologically trivial* if for every $x \in V_i \backslash V_{i-1}$, $i \geqslant 0$, there is an open neighborhood U_x of x in V, a stratified set L and a semi-algebraic homeomorphism

$$h : U_x \to (0; 1)^i \times cL,$$

such that h maps the strata of U_x (induced stratification) onto the strata of $(0; 1)^i \times cL$ (product stratification).

Theorem 2.4 (see [13], [17])**.** *A Thom-Mather stratification is a locally topologically trivial stratification.*

The definition of perversities has originally been given by Goresky and MacPherson:

Definition 2.5. A *perversity* is an $(m+1)$-uple of integers $\bar{p} = (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_m)$ such that $p_0 = p_1 = p_2 = 0$ and $p_{\alpha+1} \in \{p_\alpha, p_\alpha + 1\}$, for $\alpha \geqslant 2$.

Traditionally we denote the zero perversity by $\overline{0} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, the maximal perversity by $\overline{t} = (0, 0, 0, 1, \ldots, m-2)$, and the middle perversities by $\overline{m} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, \ldots, [\frac{m-2}{2}])$ (lower middle) and $\overline{n} = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, \ldots, [\frac{m-1}{2}])$ (upper middle). We say that the perversities $\overline{p}$ and $\overline{q}$ are *complementary* if $\overline{p} + \overline{q} = \overline{t}$.

Let V be a semi-algebraic variety such that V admits a locally topologically trivial stratification. We say that a semi-algebraic subset $Y \subset V$ is $(\bar{p}, i)$-*allowable* if

$$\dim(Y \cap V_{m-\alpha}) \leqslant i - \alpha + p_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \geqslant 2. \tag{2.6}$$

Define $IC_i^{\overline{p}}(V)$ to be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector subspace of $C_i(V)$ consisting in the chains ξ such that $|\xi|$ is $(\overline{p}, i)$-allowable and $|\partial\xi|$ is $(\overline{p}, i-1)$-allowable.

Definition 2.7. The i^{th} *intersection homology group with perversity* $\overline{p}$, with real coefficients, denoted by $IH_i^{\overline{p}}(V)$, is the i^{th} homology group of the chain complex $IC_*^{\overline{p}}(V)$.

Notice that, the notation $IH_*^{\overline{p},c}(V)$ refers to the intersection homology with compact supports, the notation $IH_*^{\overline{p},cl}(V)$ refers to the intersection homology with closed supports. In the compact case, they coincide.

Goresky and MacPherson proved that the intersection homology is independent on the choice of the stratification satisfying the locally topologically trivial conditions [4, 5].

The Poincaré duality holds for the intersection homology of a (singular) variety:

Theorem 2.8 (Goresky, MacPherson [4])**.** *For any orientable compact stratified semi-algebraic m-dimensional variety V, the generalized Poincaré duality holds:*

$$IH_k^{\overline{p}}(V) \simeq IH_{m-k}^{\overline{q}}(V),$$

where $\overline{p}$ and $\overline{q}$ are complementary perversities.

For the non-compact case, we have:

$$IH_k^{\overline{p},c}(V) \simeq IH_{m-k}^{\overline{q},cl}(V).$$

2.2. **The asymptotic set.** Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a polynomial mapping. Let us denote by S_F the set of points at which F is non proper, *i.e.*,

$$S_F := \{a \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ such that } \exists \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^n, |x_k| \text{ tends to infinity and } F(x_k) \text{ tends to } a\},$$

where $|x_k|$ is the Euclidean norm of x_k in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The set S_F is called the asymptotic set of F.

In this paper, we will use the following important theorem:

Theorem 2.9. [6] *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a polynomial mapping. If F is dominant, i.e., $\overline{F(\mathbb{C}^n)} = \mathbb{C}^n$, then S_F is either an empty set or a hypersurface.*

3. The variety V_F

We recall in this section the construction of the variety V_F and the results obtained in [16] and [11]: Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a polynomial mapping. We consider F as a real mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. By $SingF$ we mean the set of critical points of F. Thanks to the lemma 2.1 of [16], there exists a covering $\{U_1, \ldots, U_p\}$ of $M_F = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \backslash Sing(F)$ by semi-algebraic open subsets (in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$) such that on every element of this covering, the mapping F induces a diffeomorphism onto its image. We may find some semi-algebraic closed subsets $V_i \subset U_i$ (in M_F) which cover M_F as well. By the Mostowski's Separation Lemma (see [12], page 246), for each $i = 1, \ldots, p$, there exists a Nash function $\psi_i : M_F \to \mathbb{R}$, such that ψ_i is positive on V_i and negative on $M_F \backslash U_i$.

We can choose the Nash functions ψ_i such that $\psi_i(x_k)$ tends to zero where $\{x_k\}$ is a sequence in M_F tending to infinity. We define

$$V_F := \overline{(F, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_p)(M_F)},$$

that means, V_F is the closure of the image of M_F by $(F, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_p)$.

The variety V_F is a real algebraic singular variety of dimension $2n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+p}$, the singular set of which is contained in $(K_0(F) \cup S_F) \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}}\}^p$, where $K_0(F)$ is the set of critical values and S_F is the asymptotic set of F.

With the construction of V_F, we have the two following theorems. Here with the notations $H_*(V)$ (*resp.* $IH^{\overline{p}}_*(V)$), we mean the homology (*resp.*, the intersection homology) with both compact supports and closed supports.

Theorem 3.1 ([16]). *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a polynomial mapping with nowhere vanishing Jacobian. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) F is non proper,

(2) $H_2(V_F) \neq 0$,

(3) $IH^{\overline{p}}_2(V_F) \neq 0$ for any perversity $\overline{p}$,

(4) $IH^{\overline{p}}_2(V_F) \neq 0$ for some perversity $\overline{p}$.

Form here, we denote by $\hat{F}_i$ the homogeneous component of F_i of highest degree, or *the leading form* of F_i.

Theorem 3.2. [11] *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a polynomial mapping with nowhere vanishing Jacobian. If $\mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(D\hat{F}_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n} \geqslant n-1$, where $\hat{F}_i$ is the leading form of F_i, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *F is non proper,*

(2) *$H_2(V_F) \neq 0$,*

(3) *$IH^{\overline{p}}_2(V_F) \neq 0$ for any (or some) perversity $\overline{p}$,*

(4) *$IH^{\overline{p}}_{2n-2}(V_F) \neq 0$, for any (or some) perversity $\overline{p}$.*

Notice that the condition "F is nowhere vanishing Jacobian" in these theorems means that the set of critical values $K_0(F)$ of F is an emptyset.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that if $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geqslant 2$) is a non-proper *generic dominant* polynomial mapping, then the 2-dimensional homology and intersection homology (with any perversity) of V_F are not trivial. In order to compute the intersection homology of the variety V_F in the case $K_0(F) \neq \varnothing$, we have to stratify the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$. Furthermore, the intersection homology of the variety V_F does not depend on the stratification of V_F if we

use a locally topologically trivial stratification. By theorem 2.4, a Thom-Mather stratification is a locally topologically trivial stratification. In the following section, we provide an explicit Thom-Mather stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$.

4. A Thom-Mather Stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$

It is well-known that there exists a partition of the set $K_0(F)$ defined by Thom [13]. In [8], we provide a Thom-Mather stratification of the asymptotic set S_F by the *"méthode des façons"*. In this section, we prove that in general the set $K_0(F)$ is not closed, so we cannot define a stratification of $K_0(F)$ satisfying the frontier condition. However, we prove that the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is closed and $K_0(F) \cup S_F = \overline{K_0(F)} \cup S_F$. The fact "$K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is closed" has been proved in [9], and this result has been generalized in [3]. Morever, the affirmation $K_0(F) \cup S_F = \overline{K_0(F)} \cup S_F$ allows us to show that there exists a Thom-Mather stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ compatible with the partition of the set $K_0(F)$ defined by Thom and compatible with the stratification of the set S_F defined by the *"méthode des façons"*.

We begin this section by giving an example to show that in general the set $K_0(F)$ of a polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is neither closed, nor smooth, nor pure dimensional. Recall that a set X is pure dimensional of dimension m if any point of this set admits a m-dimensional neighbourhood in X.

Example 4.1. Let us consider the polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^3_{(x_1,x_2,x_3)} \to \mathbb{C}^3_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}$ such that

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^3 - x_1x_2x_3, x_2x_3, x_3x_1).$$

Then, the jacobian determinant $|J_F(x)|$ of F is given by $x_1x_3(3x_1^2 - x_2x_3)$. If $|J_F(x)| = 0$ then $x_1 = 0$ or $x_3 = 0$ or $3x_1^2 = x_2x_3$. So we have the following cases:

+ if $x_1 = 0$ then $F(0, x_2, x_3) = (0, x_2x_3, 0)$ and the axis $0\alpha_2$ is contained in $K_0(F)$,

+ if $x_3 = 0$ then $F(x_1, x_2, 0) = (x_1^3, 0, 0)$ and the axis $0\alpha_1$ is contained in $K_0(F)$,

+ if $3x_1^2 = x_2x_3$ then $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-2x_1^3, 3x_1^2, x_3x_1) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. We observe that: if $x_1 = 0$ then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$; If $x_1 \neq 0$ then $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Moreover, since $3x_1^2 = x_2x_3$ and $x_1 \neq 0$, then $x_3 \neq 0$, this implies $\alpha_3 \neq 0$. Furthermore, we have $27\alpha_1^2 = 4\alpha_2^3$. Let

$$(\mathscr{S}) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)} : 27\alpha_1^2 = 4\alpha_2^3, \alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0, \alpha_3 \neq 0\},$$

then $(\mathscr{S}) \cup \{0\}$ is contained in $K_0(F)$.

So, we have $K_0(F) = (\mathscr{S}) \cup 0\alpha_1 \cup 0\alpha_2$ (see figure 1).

Notice that $K_0(F)$ does not contain neither $0\alpha_3\backslash\{0\}$, nor the curve $(\mathscr{C})$ of equation $27\alpha_1^2 = 4\alpha_2^3$ in the plane $(0\alpha_1\alpha_2)$. However $\{0\} \in K_0(F)$ and this is the singular point of $K_0(F)$. So, the set $K_0(F)$ is neither closed, nor smooth, nor pure dimensional.



FIGURE 1. The set $K_0(F)$ of the polynomial mapping $F = (x_1^3 - x_1x_2x_3, x_2x_3, x_3x_1)$.

From the example 4.1, in general the set $K_0(F)$ is not closed, so we cannot stratify $K_0(F)$ in such a way that the stratification satisfies the frontier condition. The following proposition allows us to provide a stratification satisfying the frontier condition of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$.

Proposition 4.2. *The set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is closed. Moreover, we have*

$$K_0(F) \cup S_F = \overline{K_0(F)} \cup S_F.$$

To prove this proposition, we need the two following lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *The set $\overline{K_0(F)} \backslash K_0(F)$ is contained in the set S_F.*

Proof. Let $a \in \overline{K_0(F)} \backslash K_0(F)$. There exists a sequence $\{a_k\} \subset K_0(F)$ such that a_k tends to a. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}$ contained in the set $\{x : |J_F(x)| = 0\}$ such that $F(x_k) = a_k$, for all k, where $|J_F(x)|$ is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of F. Assume that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ tends to x_0 and x_0 is finite. Since the set $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : |J_F(x)| = 0\}$ is closed, then x_0 belongs to the set $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : |J_F(x)| = 0\}$. Moreover, since F is a polynomial mapping, then $F(x_k)$ tends to $F(x_0)$. Hence a_k tends to $F(x_0)$ and $a = F(x_0)$. Since x_0 is finite, then $a \in K_0(F)$, which provides the contradiction. Then x_k tends to infinity and a belongs to S_F. ■

Considering now the graph of F in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$, that means

$$\text{graph}F = \{(a, F(a)) : a \in \mathbb{C}^n\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let $\overline{\text{graph}F}$ be the projective closure of graph$F$ in $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.4. *The asymptotic set S_F of a polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is the image of the set $\overline{\text{graph}F} \backslash \text{graph}F$ by the canonical projection $\pi_2 : \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$.*

This lemma is well-known. In fact, this is the first observation of Jelonek [6] when he studied the geometry of the asymtotic set S_F. We can find this fact, for example, in the introduction of [16]. We provide here a demonstration of this observation.

Proof. Firstly, we show the inclusion $S_F \subset \pi_2(\overline{\text{graph}F} \setminus \text{graph}F)$. Let $a' \in S_F$, there exists a sequence $\{\xi_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that ξ_k tends to infinity and $F(\xi_k)$ tends to a'. The limit of the sequence $\{(\xi_k, F(\xi_k))\}$ is $a^* = (\infty, a')$, where $a^* \in \overline{\text{graph}F} \setminus \text{graph}F \subset (\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $a' = \pi_2(a^*) \in \pi_2(\overline{\text{graph}F} \setminus \text{graph}F)$.

Now we show the inclusion $\pi_2(\overline{\text{graph}F} \setminus \text{graph}F) \subset S_F$. Let $a' \in \pi_2(\overline{\text{graph}F} \setminus \text{graph}F)$, then there exists $a^* = (a, a') \in \overline{\text{graph}F} \setminus \text{graph}F$ such that $a^* \in \overline{\text{graph}F}$ but $a^* \notin \text{graph}F$. Then we have $a' \neq F(a)$. Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{(\xi_k, F(\xi_k))\} \subset \text{graph}F$ such that $(\xi_k, F(\xi_k))$ tends to (a, a'). Hence the sequence ξ_k tends to a and $F(\xi_k)$ tends to a'. Since F is a polynomial mapping, then $F(\xi_k)$ tends to $F(a)$. But $a' \neq F(a)$, then $a = \infty$, and ξ_k tends to infinity. Thus we have $a' \in S_F$. ■

We prove now the proposition 4.2.

Proof. By the lemma 4.4, the set S_F is the image of the set $(\overline{\text{graph}F} \setminus \text{graph}F)$ by the canonical projection $\pi_2 : \mathbb{PC}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$. Then the set S_F is closed. Moreover, we have

$$\overline{K_0(F)} \cup S_F = K_0(F) \cup (\overline{K_0(F)} \setminus K_0(F)) \cup S_F.$$

By the lemma 4.3, we have $\overline{K_0(F)} \setminus K_0(F) \subset S_F$, then $K_0(F) \cup S_F = \overline{K_0(F)} \cup S_F$. Consequently, the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is closed. ■

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(\mathcal{K})$ be the partition of $K_0(F)$ defined by Thom in [13] and the stratification $(\mathcal{S})$ of S_F defined by the "méthode des façons" in [8]. Assume that if K is a stratum of $K_0(F)$ and if S is a stratum of S_F, then $\overline{K}$ and S are transverse. Then there exists a Thom-Mather stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ compatible with $(\mathcal{S})$ and $(\mathcal{K})$.*

Proof. By the proposition 4.2, the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is closed and

$$K_0(F) \cup S_F = \overline{K_0(F)} \cup S_F.$$

Considering the partition $(\mathcal{K})$ of $K_0(F)$ defined by Thom [13] and the stratification $(\mathcal{S})$ of S_F defined by the *"méthode des façons"* [8]. Since $\overline{K}$ and S are transverse for any $K \in (\mathcal{K})$ and for any $S \in (\mathcal{S})$, then we can stratify $\overline{K_0(F)} \cap S_F$ by strata $\overline{K} \cap S$ where $K \in (\mathcal{K})$ and $S \in (\mathcal{S})$. So we obtain a stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ compatible with $(\mathcal{K})$ and $(\mathcal{S})$. We have $\{\overline{K} : K \in (\mathcal{K})\}$ is a Thom-Mather stratification of $\overline{K_0(F)}$ compatible with $(\mathcal{K})$ ([13], theorem 4.B.1) and $(\mathcal{S})$ is a Thom-Mather stratification ([8], theorem 4.6). Hence, we get a Thom-Mather stratification of the set $S_F \cup K_0(F)$ ([15], page 4).

■

In order to illustrate the theorem 4.5, let us provide an explicit example.

Example 4.6. Let us consider the example 4.1: let $F : \mathbb{C}^3_{(x_1,x_2,x_3)} \to \mathbb{C}^3_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}$ be the polynomial mapping such that $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^3 - x_1x_2x_3, x_2x_3, x_3x_1)$.

a) At first, via this example, we make clear the idea "define a partition of the set $K_0(F)$ by *constant rank*" by providing the partition of the set $K_0(F)$ defined by Thom in [13], consisted in the five following steps.

1) Step 1: Subdividing the singular set $SingF$ of F into subvarieties V^i, where $V^i = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \text{Rank}J_F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = i\}$. From the example 4.1, we have:

$$V^0 = \{(0, 0, 0)\}, \quad V^1 = \{(0, x_2, 0) : x_2 \neq 0\},$$

$$V^2 = \{(0, x_2, x_3) : x_3 \neq 0\} \cup \{(x_1, x_2, 0) : x_1 \neq 0\} \cup \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_3 \neq 0, x_2x_3 = 3x_1^2\}.$$

2) Step 2: Subdividing the sets V^i in step 1 into smooth varieties. Since V^2 is not smooth, so we need to subdivide V_2 into $V_1^2 := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : 3x_1^2 = x_2x_3, x_3 \neq 0\}$, $V_2^2 := \{(0, x_2, x_3) : x_3 \neq 0\}$ and $V_3^2 := \{(x_1, x_2, 0) : x_1 \neq 0\}$.

3) Step 3: Making a partition of the set $SingF$ from the subsets V_j^i in the steps 1 and 2. Since $V_1^2 \cap V_2^2 = 0x_3\backslash\{0\}$, so let us consider:

$$V'^2_1 := V_1^2\backslash 0x_3, \quad V'^2_2 := V_2^2\backslash 0x_3, \quad V'^2_3 := V_3^2\backslash 0x_3,$$

$$V'^1_1 := V^1\backslash\{0\} = 0x_2\backslash\{0\}, \quad V'^1_2 = 0x_3\backslash\{0\}, \quad V'^0 := \{0\}.$$

We get a partition of $SingF$.

4) Step 4: Computing $\text{Rank}J_{F_{|TV'^j_i}}$. We have

$$\text{Rank}J_{F_{|TV'^2_1}} = 2, \quad \text{Rank}J_{F_{|TV'^2_2}} = \text{Rank}J_{F_{|TV'^2_3}} = 1,$$

$$\text{Rank}J_{F_{|TV'^1_1}} = \text{Rank}J_{F_{|TV'^1_2}} = \text{Rank}J_{F_{|TV'^0}} = 0.$$

5) Step 5: Computing $W_j^{i,k} := F(\{x \in V'^i_j : \text{Rank}J_{F_{|T_xV'^i_j}} = k\})$. We have

$$W^{2,2} = (\mathscr{S}), \quad W_2^{2,1} = 0\alpha_2, \quad W_3^{2,1} = 0\alpha_1, \quad W_1^{1,0} = W_2^{1,0} = W^{0,0} = \{0\}.$$

Recall that $(\mathscr{S}) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)} : 27\alpha_1^2 = 4\alpha_2^3, \alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0, \alpha_3 \neq 0\}$.
Each $W_j^{i,k}$ is a k-dimensional smooth variety of $K_0(F)$. So we get a partition of $K_0(F)$ by smooth varieties (see figure 2).

b) Let us determine now a stratification of $K_0(F) \cup S_F$. By definition, we can check easily that the asymptotic set S_F is the union of two planes $\{\alpha_1 = 0\}$ and $\{\alpha_3 = 0\}$. A stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$, which is in fact a Thom-Mather stratification, is given by the filtration:

$$K_0(F) \cup S_F \supset 0\alpha_1 \cup 0\alpha_2 \supset \{0\} \supset \varnothing,$$



FIGURE 2. The partition of $K_0(F)$ defined by Thom of the polynomial mapping

$$F = (x_1^3 - x_1x_2x_3, x_2x_3, x_3x_1)$$

where

+ $\big(K_0(F) \cup S_F\big)\backslash\ (0\alpha_1 \cup 0\alpha_2)$ has three strata: the two strata $S_1^2 = (0\alpha_2\alpha_3)\backslash 0\alpha_2$ and $S_2^2 = (0\alpha_1\alpha_2)\backslash 0\alpha_2$ defined by *façons* [8] and the stratum $S_3^2 = (\mathscr{S})\backslash S_F \subset K_0(F)\backslash S_F$ defined by Thom,

+ $(0\alpha_1 \cup 0\alpha_2)\backslash\{0\}$ has two strata: the stratum $0\alpha_1\backslash\{0\}$ is defined by Thom, the stratum $0\alpha_2\backslash\{0\}$ is defined either by Thom or by *façons*,

+ $\{0\}$ is the 0-dimensional stratum defined by Thom.

Remark 4.7. If $K_0(F)\backslash S_F$ is smooth, then we can define easily a stratification of the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$. But in general, $K_0(F)\backslash S_F$ is not smooth. We can check this fact in the following example:

$$F : \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3, \qquad F = (x_1^3 - x_1x_2x_3, x_2x_3, x_3).$$

Remark 4.8. In all examples in this paper and in [9], the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is pure dimensional if F is dominant. So we can suggest the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.9. *If $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a dominant polynomial mapping then the set $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ is pure dimensional.*

Notice that the above conjecture is not true in the case F is not dominant, as shown in the following example:

$$F : \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3, \qquad F = (x_1^2 - x_2x_3, x_2 - x_3, x_1 - x_3).$$

5. The homology and intersection homology of the variety V_F in the case $K_0(F)$ is non empty

We have the two following theorems.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a non-proper generic dominant polynomial mapping, then*
(1) $H_2(V_F) \neq 0$,
(2) $IH_2^{\overline{p}}(V_F) \neq 0$ for any perversity $\overline{p}$,
(3) $IH_2^{\overline{p}}(V_F) \neq 0$ for some perversity $\overline{p}$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geqslant 3$) be a non-proper generic dominant polynomial mapping. If $\mathrm{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(D\hat{F}_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n} \geqslant n-1$, where $\hat{F}_i$ is the leading form of F_i, then:*

(1) $H_2(V_F) \neq 0$,
(2) $IH_2^{\overline{p}}(V_F) \neq 0$ *for any (or some) perversity* $\overline{p}$,
(3) $IH_{2n-2}^{\overline{p}}(V_F) \neq 0$, *for any (or some) perversity* $\overline{p}$.

Before proving these theorems, we recall some necessary definitions and lemmas.

Definition 5.3. *A semi-algebraic family of sets (parametrized by $\mathbb{R}$)* is a semi-algebraic set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, the last variable being considered as parameter.

Remark 5.4. A semi-algebraic set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ will be considered as a family parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We write A_t, for "the fiber of A at t", *i.e.*:

$$A_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x,t) \in A\}.$$

Lemma 5.5 ([16], lemma 3.1)**.** Let β be a j-cycle and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be a compact semi-algebraic family of sets with $|\beta| \subset A_t$ for any t. Assume that $|\beta|$ bounds a $(j+1)$-chain in each A_t, $t > 0$ small enough. Then β bounds a chain in A_0.

Definition 5.6 ([16])**.** Given a subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the *"tangent cone at infinity"*, called "*contour apparent à l'infini*" in [9] by:

$$C_\infty(X) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0,1) \text{ such that } \exists \eta : (t_0, t_0+\varepsilon] \to X \text{ semi-algebraic},$$

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} \eta(t) = \infty, \lim_{t \to t_0} \frac{\eta(t)}{|\eta(t)|} = \lambda\}.$$

Lemma 5.7 ([11], lemma 4.10)**.** Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a polynomial mapping and V the zero locus of $\hat{F} := (\hat{F}_1, \ldots, \hat{F}_m)$, where $\hat{F}_i$ is the leading form of F_i. If X is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $F(X)$ is bounded, then $C_\infty(X)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0,1) \cap V$, where $V = \hat{F}^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. (*Proof of the theorem 5.1*).

The proof of this theorem consists into two steps. In the first step, we use the transversality theorem of Thom (see [1], page 34): if F is non-proper *generic* dominant polynomial mapping, we can construct an adapted $(2,\overline{p})$-allowable chain in generic position providing non triviality of homology and intersection homology of the variety V_F, for any perversity $\overline{p}$. In the second step, we use the same idea than in [16] to prove that the chain that we create in the first step cannot bound a 3-chain in V_F.

a) Step 1: Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a generic polynomial mapping, then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_F = 4$ ([16], proposition 2.3). Assume that $S_F \neq \varnothing$. We claim that $S_F \cap K_0(F) \neq \varnothing$. In fact, since F is dominant, then by the theorem 2.9, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_F = 1$. Moreover, since F is generic then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} K_0(F) = 1$. Thanks again to the genericity of F, we have $S_F \cap K_0(F) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x_0 \in S_F \backslash K_0(F)$, then there exists a complex Puiseux arc γ in $\mathbb{R}^4$, where

$$\gamma : D(0,\eta) \to \mathbb{R}^4, \quad \gamma = uz^{\alpha} + \ldots,$$

(with α is a negative integer, u is an unit vector of $\mathbb{R}^4$ and $D(0,\eta)$ a small 2-dimensional disc centered in 0 and radius η) tending to infinity in such a way that $F(\gamma)$ converges to x_0. Then, the mapping $h_F \circ \gamma$, where $h_F = (F, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_p)$ (see the construction of the variety V_F, section 3) provides a singular 2-simplex in V_F that we will denote by c. We prove now the simplex c is $(\overline{p}, 2)$-allowable for any perversity $\overline{p}$. In fact, since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_F = 1$, the condition (see 2.6)

$$0 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}\{x_0\} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}((S_F \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^p}\}) \cap |c|) \leqslant 2 - \alpha + p_{\alpha},$$

where $\alpha = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} S_F = 2$ holds for any perversity $\overline{p}$ since $p_2 = 0$.

Notice that $V_F \backslash S_F$ is not smooth in general. In fact, $\operatorname{Sing}(V_F \backslash S_F) \subset K_0(F)$. Let us consider a stratum V_i of the stratification of $K_0(F) \cup S_F$ defined in the theorem 4.5 and denote $\beta = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} V_i$. Assume that $\beta \geqslant 2$, we can choose the Puiseux arc γ such that c lies in the regular part of $V_F \backslash (S_F \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^p}\})$, thanks to the genericity of F. In fact, this comes from the generic position of transversality. So c is $(\overline{p}, 2)$-allowable. Hence we only need to consider the cases $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$. Then:

1) If c intersects V_i: since $x_0 \in S_F \backslash K_0(F)$, then we have $0 \leqslant \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_i \cap |c|) \leqslant 1$. Considering the condition

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_i \cap |c|) \leqslant 2 - \beta + p_{\beta}. \tag{5.8}$$

We see that $2 - \beta + p_{\beta} \geqslant 1$, for $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$. So the condition (5.8) holds.

2) If c does not meet V_i, then the condition

$$-\infty = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \varnothing = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_i \cap |c|) \leqslant 2 - \beta + p_{\beta}$$

holds always.
In conclusion, the simplex c is $(\overline{p},2)$-allowable for any perversity $\overline{p}$.

We can always choose the Puiseux arc such that the support of ∂c lies in the regular part of $V_F\backslash(S_F\times\{0_{\mathbb{R}^p}\})$ and ∂c bounds a 2-dimensional singular chain e of $\mathrm{Reg}(V_F\backslash(S_F\times\{0_{\mathbb{R}^p}\}))$. So $\sigma=c-e$ is a $(\overline{p},2)$-allowable cycle of V_F.

b) Step 2: We claim that σ cannot bound a 3-chain in V_F. Assume otherwise, *i.e.* assume that there is a 3-chain in V_F, satisfying $\partial\tau=\sigma$. Let

$$A:=h_F^{-1}(|\sigma|\cap(V_F\backslash(S_F\times\{0_{\mathbb{R}^p}\}))),$$

$$B:=h_F^{-1}(|\tau|\cap(V_F\backslash(S_F\times\{0_{\mathbb{R}^p}\}))).$$

By definition 5.6, the sets $C_\infty(A)$ and $C_\infty(B)$ are subsets of $\mathbb{S}^3(0,1)$. Observe that, in a neighborhood of infinity, A coincides with the support of the Puiseux arc γ. The set $C_\infty(A)$ is equal to $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ (denoting the orbit of $a\in\mathbb{C}^2$ under the action of $\mathbb{S}^1$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$, $(e^{i\eta},z)\mapsto e^{i\eta}z$). Let V be the zero locus of the leading forms $\hat{F}:=(\hat{F}_1,\hat{F}_2)$. Since $F(A)$ and $F(B)$ are bounded, then by lemma 5.7, the sets $C_\infty(A)$ and $C_\infty(B)$ are subsets of $V\cap\mathbb{S}^3(0,1)$.

For R large enough, the sphere $\mathbb{S}^3(0,R)$ with center 0 and radius R in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is transverse to A and B (at regular points). Let

$$\sigma_R:=\mathbb{S}^3(0,R)\cap A,\qquad \tau_R:=\mathbb{S}^3(0,R)\cap B.$$

Then σ_R is a chain bounding the chain τ_R. Considering a semi-algebraic strong deformation retraction $\Phi:W\times[0;1]\to\mathbb{S}^1.a$, where W is a neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ in $\mathbb{S}^3(0,1)$ onto $\mathbb{S}^1.a$. Considering R as a parameter, we have the following semi-algebraic families of chains:

1) $\tilde{\sigma}_R:=\frac{\sigma_R}{R}$, for R large enough, then $\tilde{\sigma}_R$ is contained in W,
2) $\sigma'_R=\Phi_1(\tilde{\sigma}_R)$, where $\Phi_1(x):=\Phi(x,1),\qquad x\in W$,
3) $\theta_R=\Phi(\tilde{\sigma}_R)$, we have $\partial\theta_R=\sigma'_R-\tilde{\sigma}_R$,
4) $\theta'_R=\tau_R+\theta_R$, we have $\partial\theta'_R=\sigma'_R$.

As, near infinity, σ_R coincides with the intersection of the support of the arc γ with $\mathbb{S}^3(0,R)$, for R large enough the class of σ'_R in $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ is nonzero.

Let $r=1/R$, consider r as a parameter, and let $\{\tilde{\sigma}_r\}$, $\{\sigma'_r\}$, $\{\theta_r\}$ as well as $\{\theta'_r\}$ the corresponding semi-algebraic families of chains.

Let us denote by $E_r\subset\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}$ the closure of $|\theta_r|$, and set $E_0:=(\mathbb{R}^4\times\{0\})\cap E$. Since the strong deformation retraction Φ is the identity on $C_\infty(A)\times[0,1]$, we see that

$$E_0\subset\Phi(C_\infty(A)\times[0,1])=\mathbb{S}^1.a\subset V\cap\mathbb{S}^3(0,1).$$

Let us denote by $E'_r \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}$ the closure of $|\theta'_r|$, and set $E'_0 := (\mathbb{R}^4 \times \{0\}) \cap E'$. Since A bounds B, then $C_\infty(A)$ is contained in $C_\infty(B)$. We have

$$E'_0 \subset E_0 \cup C_\infty(B) \subset V \cap \mathbb{S}^3(0,1).$$

The class of σ'_r in $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ is, up to a product with a nonzero constant, equal to the generator of $\mathbb{S}^1.a$. Therefore, since σ'_r bounds the chain θ'_r, the cycle $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ must bound a chain in $|\theta'_r|$ as well. By Lemma 5.5, this implies that $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ bounds a chain in E'_0 which is included in $V \cap \mathbb{S}^3(0,1)$.

The set V is a projective variety which is an union of cones in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \leqslant 1$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V \leqslant 2$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V \cap \mathbb{S}^3(0,1) \leqslant 1$. The cycle $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ thus bounds a chain in $E'_0 \subseteq V \cap \mathbb{S}^3(0,1)$, which is a finite union of circles, that provides a contradiction. ■

Proof. (*Proof of the theorem 5.2*).

Assume that $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ $(n \geqslant 3)$ is a non-proper generic dominant polynomial mapping. Similarly to the previous proof, we have:

• Since F is dominant, then by the theorem 2.9, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_F = n-1$. Moreover, since F is generic then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} K_0(F) = n-1$. Thanks again to the genericity of F, we have $S_F \cap K_0(F) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x_0 \in S_F \backslash K_0(F)$, then there exists a complex Puiseux arc γ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where

$$\gamma : D(0,\eta) \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad \gamma = uz^\alpha + \ldots,$$

(with α is a negative integer and u is an unit vector of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$) tending to infinity such a way that $F(\gamma)$ converges to x_0. Since $x_0 \in S_F \backslash K_0(F)$ and F is generic, then we can choose the arc Puiseux γ in generic position, that means the simplex c is $(\overline{p}, 2)$-allowable for any perversity $\overline{p}$.

• Now, with the same notations than the above proof, we have: Since $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(D\hat{F}_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n} \geqslant n-1$ then $\operatorname{corank}_{\mathbb{C}}(D\hat{F}_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n} \leqslant 1$. Moreover since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = \operatorname{corank}_{\mathbb{C}}(D\hat{F}_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V \leqslant 2$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V \cap \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}(0,1) \leqslant 1$. The cycle $\mathbb{S}^1.a$ bounds a chain in $E'_0 \subseteq V \cap \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}(0,1)$, which is a finite union of circles, that provides a contradiction.

Hence, we get the facts (1) and (2) of the theorem. Moreover, from the Goresky-MacPherson Poincaré duality theorem (theorem 2.8), we have

$$IH_2^{\overline{p},c}(V_F) = IH_{2n-4}^{\overline{q},cl}(V_F)$$

where $\overline{p}$ and $\overline{q}$ are complementary perversities. Since the chain σ that we create in the proof of the theorem 5.1 can be either a chain with compact supports or a chain with closed supports, so we get the fact (3) of the theorem.

■

Remark 5.9. The properties of the homology and intersection homology in the theorem 5.1 and 5.2 hold for both compact supports and closed supports.

Remark 5.10. From the proofs of the theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we see that the properties of the intersection homology in these theorems do not hold if F is not dominant. The reason is that the theorem 2.9 is not true if F is not dominant and then the condition (5.8) does not hold. However, the properties of the homology hold even if F is not dominant. So we have the two following corollaries.

Corollary 5.11. Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a non-proper generic polynomial mapping, then $H_2(V_F) \neq 0$.

Corollary 5.12. Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ $(n \geqslant 3)$ be a non-proper generic polynomial mapping. If $\text{Rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(D\hat{F}_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n} \geqslant n-1$, where $\hat{F}_i$ is the leading form of F_i, then $H_2(V_F) \neq 0$.

Remark 5.13. In the previous papers [16] and [11], the condition "F is nowhere vanishing Jacobian" (see theorems 3.1 and 3.2) implies F is dominant. Hence, the condition "F is dominant" in the theorems 5.1 and 5.2 guarantees the condition of dimension of the set S_F (see theorem 2.9). Moreover, we need this condition in this paper also to be free ourself from the condition $K_0(F) = \varnothing$, since the condition of dimension of S_F when F is dominant also guarantees the (generic) tranversal position of the $(2, \overline{p})$-allowable chain which provides non triviality of homology and intersection homology of the variety V_F when $K_0(F) \neq \varnothing$ in theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

References

[1] V. Arnold, A. Varchenko, S. Goussein-Zadé, Singularités des applications différentiables, Éditions Mir Moscou, 1986 (original edition in Russian, 1982).

[2] J-P. Brasselet, Introduction to intersection homology with and without sheaves, to appear in Contemporary Mathematics (2015).

[3] Dias, L.R.G; Ruas, M.A.S; Tibar, M., *Regularity at infinity of real mapping and a Morse-Sard theorem*, J Topol, v.5, p. 323-340, 2012.

[4] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology.* II. Invent. Math. 72 (1983), 77-129.

[5] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology theory,* Topology 19 (1980), 135-162.

[6] Z. Jelonek, *The set of point at which polynomial map is not proper*, Ann. Polon. Math. 58 (1993), no. 3, 259-266.

[7] J. Mather, Notes on topological stability, miméographié, Harvard University (1970).

[8] T. B. T. Nguyen, *La méthode des façons*, ArXiv: 1407.5239 (2015).

[9] T. B. T. Nguyen, Étude de certains ensembles singuliers associés à une application polynomiale, Thèse, Université d'Aix Marseille, http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/, ID : tel-00875930 (2013).

[10] T. B. T. Nguyen and M. A. S. Ruas, *On a singular variety associated to a polynomial mapping*, ArXiv: 1503.08079 (2015).

[11] T. B. T. Nguyen, A. Valette and G. Valette, *On a singular variety associated to a polynomial mapping*, Journal of Singularities volume 7 (2013), 190-204.

[12] T. Mostowski, *Some properties of the ring of Nash functions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 3 (1976), no. 2, 245-266.

[13] R. Thom, *Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75, 2 (1969), 240-284.

[14] R. Thom, *Quelques propriétés globales des variétés differentiables*, Comm. Math. Helv. 28, 1 (1954), 17-86.

[15] D. Trotman, *Lectures on Real Stratification Theory*, LATP-UMR 6632, University of Provence, Marseille, France, 12 October 2005.

[16] A. Valette and G. Valette, *Geometry of polynomial mappings at infinity via intersection homology*, Ann. I. Fourier vol. 64, fascicule 5 (2014), 2147-2163.

[17] H. Whitney, *Tangents to an analytic variety*, Annals of Math. (2) 81 (1965), 496-549.

(Nguyễn Thị Bích Thủy) UNESP, Universidade Estadual Paulista, "Júlio de Mesquita Filho", São José do Rio Preto, Brasil

E-mail address: bichthuy@ibilce.unesp.br